

TEACHER FEEDBACK ON DESIGN & REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Criteria/Parameters.....


1. The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the needs of the students.
2. The curriculum provides opportunity for the conducting project related activities and experiential learning.
3. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.
4. The contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC – NET/CSIR examination.
5. The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.
6. The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard.
7. The curriculum is well organized and easy to follow.
8. It would be easy for a new instructor to teach this course.
9. The syllabus achieves the course outcome of each subject.

**Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus
Analysis (2015 - 16)**

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					Total
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
1.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the needs of the students.	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	100
2.	The curriculum provides opportunity for the conducting project related activities and experiential learning.	60.00	16.67	0.00	23.33	0.00	100
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	56.67	10.00	6.66	10.00	16.67	100
4.	The contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC – NET/CSIR examination.	06.66	26.67	0.00	10.00	56.67	100
5.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	63.33	13.33	0.00	23.34	0.00	100
6.	The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard.	23.33	16.67	3.33	16.67	40.00	100
7.	The curriculum is well organized and easy to follow.	53.33	13.33	0.00	26.67	06.67	100
8.	It would be easy for a new instructor to teach this course.	76.67	10.00	0.00	13.33	0.00	100
9.	The syllabus achieves the course outcome of each subject.	63.33	20.00	0.00	10.00	06.67	100
Total		476.65	153.34	9.99	133.34	126.68	900
Average (%)		52.96	17.04	1.11	14.82	14.07	100

Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2015 - 16)

Total Response: 30




**Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus
Analysis (2016 - 17)**

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					Total
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
1.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the needs of the students.	61.29	25.81	0.00	09.68	03.22	100
2.	The curriculum provides opportunity for the conducting project related activities and experiential learning.	22.58	16.13	0.00	19.35	41.94	100
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	09.68	32.26	12.90	35.48	09.68	100
4.	The contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC – NET/CSIR examination.	09.68	35.48	0.00	0.00	54.84	100
5.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	67.74	09.68	0.00	16.13	06.45	100
6.	The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard.	25.81	12.90	6.46	19.35	35.48	100
7.	The curriculum is well organized and easy to follow.	64.52	19.35	0.00	16.13	0.00	100
8.	It would be easy for a new instructor to teach this course.	70.97	29.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	100
9.	The syllabus achieves the course outcome of each subject.	74.19	09.68	0.00	16.13	0.00	100
Total		406.46	190.32	19.36	132.25	151.61	900
Average (%)		45.16	21.15	2.15	14.69	16.85	100

Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2016 - 17)

Total Response: 31




**Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus
Analysis (2017 - 18)**

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
1.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the needs of the students.	0.00	62.96	18.52	18.52	00	100
2.	The curriculum provides opportunity for the conducting project related activities and experiential learning.	14.82	51.85	18.51	14.82	00	100
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	03.70	51.85	25.93	18.52	00	100
4.	The contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC – NET/CSIR examination.	25.92	22.22	14.82	22.22	14.82	100
5.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	29.63	51.85	0.00	18.52	0.00	100
6.	The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard.	29.63	22.22	0.00	48.15	0.00	100
7.	The curriculum is well organized and easy to follow.	11.11	62.96	25.93	0.00	0.00	100
8.	It would be easy for a new instructor to teach this course.	51.85	25.93	22.22	0.00	0.00	100
9.	The syllabus achieves the course outcome of each subject.	0.00	55.56	22.22	22.22	0.00	100
Total		166.66	407.4	148.15	162.97	14.82	900
Average (%)		18.52	45.27	16.46	18.11	1.64	100

Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2017 - 18)

Total Response: 27




Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2018 - 19)

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					Total
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
1.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the needs of the students.	40.74	33.33	11.11	14.82	0.00	100
2.	The curriculum provides opportunity for the conducting project related activities and experiential learning.	18.52	44.45	25.93	07.40	03.70	100
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	33.33	37.03	14.82	14.82	0.00	100
4.	The contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC – NET/CSIR examination.	25.92	40.74	14.82	03.70	14.82	100
5.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	48.15	37.04	11.11	03.70	0.00	100
6.	The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard.	11.11	33.33	22.22	14.82	18.52	100
7.	The curriculum is well organized and easy to follow.	37.04	33.33	18.52	03.71	07.40	100
8.	It would be easy for a new instructor to teach this course.	33.33	59.26	07.41	0.00	0.00	100
9.	The syllabus achieves the course outcome of each subject.	29.63	11.11	25.93	33.33	0.00	100
Total		277.77	329.62	151.87	96.3	44.44	900
Average (%)		30.87	36.63	16.87	10.7	4.93	100

Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2018 - 19)

Total Response: 27




**Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus
Analysis (2019 - 20)**

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					Total
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
1.	The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the needs of the students.	37.03	33.33	14.82	14.82	0.00	100
2.	The curriculum provides opportunity for the conducting project related activities and experiential learning.	14.82	29.62	18.52	14.82	22.22	100
3.	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	14.82	40.74	25.92	18.52	0.00	100
4.	The contents of the curriculum are in tune with the UGC – NET/CSIR examination.	25.92	14.82	14.82	29.62	14.82	100
5.	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	29.63	33.33	14.82	22.22	0.00	100
6.	The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard.	29.63	22.22	14.82	22.22	11.11	100
7.	The curriculum is well organized and easy to follow.	25.93	33.33	18.52	14.82	07.40	100
8.	It would be easy for a new instructor to teach this course.	29.63	33.33	22.22	07.41	07.41	100
9.	The syllabus achieves the course outcome of each subject.	11.11	44.45	22.22	22.22	0.00	100
Total		218.52	285.17	166.68	166.67	62.96	900
Average (%)		24.28	31.69	18.52	18.52	6.99	100

Teacher's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2019 - 20)

Total Response: 27



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON DESIGN & REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Criteria/Parameters.....


1. Are you satisfied with the present syllabus?
2. Do you expect some changes in the syllabus?
3. Do you feel that the syllabus is up to the mark?
4. Are you satisfied with the present course you have selected.
5. Do you get practical knowledge from the present syllabus?
6. Syllabus is job oriented.
7. Do you understand the purpose of the assigned materials in the course?
8. Does the course assignments (reading, assigned problems, lab work etc) facilitated your learning.
9. The course workload is manageable?
10. The study materials are easily available.

**Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus
Analysis (2015 - 16)**

S. No.	Parameters	Yes %	No %	Total %
1	Are you satisfied with the present syllabus?	74.86	25.14	100
2	Do you expect some changes in the syllabus?	90.17	09.83	100
3	Do you feel that the syllabus is up to the mark?	87.22	12.78	100
4	Are you satisfied with the present course you have selected.	78.97	21.03	100
5	Do you get practical knowledge from the present syllabus?	67.89	32.11	100
6	Syllabus is job oriented.	76.42	23.58	100
7	Do you understand the purpose of the assigned materials in the course?	61.11	38.89	100
8	Does the course assignments (reading, assigned problems, lab work etc.) facilitated your learning.	72.27	27.73	100
9	The course workload is manageable?	91.97	08.03	100
10	The study materials are easily available.	93.31	06.69	100
Total		794.19	205.81	1000
Average (%)		79.419	20.581	100

Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2015 - 16)

Total Response: 2168




**Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus
Analysis (2016 - 17)**

S. No.	Parameters	Yes %	No %	Total %
1	Are you satisfied with the present syllabus?	84.98	15.02	100
2	Do you expect some changes in the syllabus?	79.96	20.04	100
3	Do you feel that the syllabus is up to the mark?	76.99	23.01	100
4	Are you satisfied with the present course you have selected.	80.14	19.86	100
5	Do you get practical knowledge from the present syllabus?	67.97	32.03	100
6	Syllabus is job oriented.	73.97	26.03	100
7	Do you understand the purpose of the assigned materials in the course?	61.98	38.02	100
8	Does the course assignments (reading, assigned problems, lab work etc.) facilitated your learning.	72.94	27.06	100
9	The course workload is manageable?	76.08	23.92	100
10	The study materials are easily available.	87.95	12.05	100
Total		762.96	237.04	1000
Average (%)		76.296	23.704	100

Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2016 - 17)

Total Response: 1652




**Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus
Analysis (2017-18)**

S. No.	Parameters	Yes %	No %	Total %
1	Are you satisfied with the present syllabus?	51.44	48.56	100
2	Do you expect some changes in the syllabus?	59.24	40.76	100
3	Do you feel that the syllabus is up to the mark?	37.31	62.69	100
4	Are you satisfied with the present course you have selected.	51.92	48.08	100
5	Do you get practical knowledge from the present syllabus?	31.25	68.75	100
6	Syllabus is job oriented.	42.83	57.17	100
7	Do you understand the purpose of the assigned materials in the course?	71.46	28.54	100
8	Does the course assignments (reading, assigned problems, lab work etc.) facilitated your learning.	54.88	45.12	100
9	The course workload is manageable?	43.95	56.05	100
10	The study materials are easily available.	53.03	46.97	100
Total		497.31	502.69	1000
Average (%)		49.731	50.269	100

Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2017-18)

Total Response: 1882




Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2018-19)

S. No.	Parameters	Yes %	No %	Total %
1	Are you satisfied with the present syllabus?	74.89	25.11	100
2	Do you expect some changes in the syllabus?	74.06	25.94	100
3	Do you feel that the syllabus is up to the mark?	28.2	71.8	100
4	Are you satisfied with the present course you have selected.	65.86	34.14	100
5	Do you get practical knowledge from the present syllabus?	67.2	32.8	100
6	Syllabus is job oriented.	19.37	80.63	100
7	Do you understand the purpose of the assigned materials in the course?	74.67	25.33	100
8	Does the course assignments (reading, assigned problems, lab work etc.) facilitated your learning.	90.68	9.32	100
9	The course workload is manageable?	73.25	26.75	100
10	The study materials are easily available.	65.41	34.59	100
Total		633.59	366.41	1000
Average (%)		63.359	36.641	100

Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2018-19)

Total Response: 1951




Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2019-20)

S. No.	Parameters	Yes %	No %	Total %
1	Are you satisfied with the present syllabus?	63.13	36.87	100
2	Do you expect some changes in the syllabus?	84.61	15.39	100
3	Do you feel that the syllabus is up to the mark?	11.38	88.62	100
4	Are you satisfied with the present course you have selected.	77.52	22.48	100
5	Do you get practical knowledge from the present syllabus?	76.71	23.29	100
6	Syllabus is job oriented.	58.34	41.66	100
7	Do you understand the purpose of the assigned materials in the course?	74.23	25.77	100
8	Does the course assignments (reading, assigned problems, lab work etc.) facilitated your learning.	80.64	19.36	100
9	The course workload is manageable?	78.96	21.04	100
10	The study materials are easily available.	78.29	21.71	100
Total		683.81	316.19	1000
Average (%)		68.381	31.619	100

Student's feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2019-20)

Total Response: 2091



Alumni feedback on design and review of syllabus

Criteria/Parameters.....


1. The curriculum design is suitable for meeting the overall development of students.
2. The curriculum is fulfilling the need of industry, sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards/current global scenarios and academics.
3. The curriculum is suitable for employability in the present scenario.
4. The curriculum is suitable for employability in the present scenario.
5. The curriculum design is value based.
6. The curriculum is based on regional/national needs.
7. Sufficient reference material regarding curriculum is easily available.
8. Curriculum content is interesting.
9. Curriculum is fulfilling your expectations.
10. Syllabus updating is required.
11. Syllabus meets contemporary requirements.

Alumni feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2019-20)

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
1.	The curriculum design is suitable for meeting the overall development of students.	0.00	39.58	00.00	45.84	14.58	100
2.	The curriculum is fulfilling the need of industry, sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards/current global scenarios and academics.	00.00	54.17	00.00	39.58	6.25	100
3.	The curriculum is suitable for employability in the present scenario.	00.00	39.58	20.84	39.58	00.00	100
4.	The curriculum design is value based.	6.25	33.33	00.00	60.42	0.00	100
5.	The curriculum is based on regional/national needs.	18.76	41.66	00.00	39.58	00.00	100
6.	Sufficient reference material regarding curriculum is easily available.	00.00	39.58	00.00	39.58	20.84	100
7.	Curriculum content is interesting.	00.00	20.83	00.00	79.17	00.00	100
8.	Curriculum is fulfilling your expectations.	00.00	79.17	00.00	14.58	6.25	100
9.	Syllabus updating is required.	00.00	41.66	00.00	39.58	18.76	100
10.	Syllabus meets contemporary requirements.	6.25	58.33	00.00	35.42	00.00	100
Total		25.01	389.56	20.84	397.91	66.68	900
Average (%)		3.126	44.789	2.084	43.333	6.668	100

Alumni feedback on design and review of syllabus Analysis (2019-20)

Total Response: 48



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON COLLEGE INFRASTRUCTURE

Criteria/Parameters.....


1. Library Facility
2. Department Library Facility
3. The level of Books in library according syllabus
4. Behavior of library staff
5. Sport facility
6. Behavior & support of sport officer
7. Drinking Water condition
8. Canteen condition
9. Toilet condition
10. Official Facility
11. Behavior of official staff
12. Wi-Fi facility

**STUDENT FEEDBACK ON COLLEGE INFRASTRUCTURE
(2017-18)**

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					Total
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	
1.	Library Facility	19.93	10.74	40.32	4.89	24.12	100
2.	Department Library Facility	0	23.92	38.52	36.23	1.33	100
3.	The level of Books in library according syllabus	54.26	14.98	17.27	12	1.49	100
4.	Behavior of library staff	0.85	43.58	42.13	11.59	1.85	100
5.	Sport facility	11.65	23.11	47.07	14.39	3.78	100
6.	Behavior & support of sport officer	0.49	45.59	38.89	13.7	1.33	100
7.	Drinking Water condition	1.11	0	1.32	37.04	60.53	100
8.	Canteen condition	0.47	8.24	37.46	28.9	24.93	100
9.	Toilet condition	0	0	13.49	46.03	40.48	100
10.	Official Facility	2.49	41.76	53.02	1.98	0.75	100
11.	Behavior of official staff	41.13	29.55	25.29	2.55	1.48	100
12.	Wi-Fi facility	5.63	16.47	32.09	24.07	21.74	100
Total		138.01	257.94	386.87	233.37	183.81	1200
Average (%)		11.50083	21.495	32.23917	19.4475	15.3175	100

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON COLLEGE INFRASTRUCTURE (2017-18)

Total Response: 1882




**STUDENT FEEDBACK ON COLLEGE INFRASTRUCTURE
(2018-19)**

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Total
1.	Library Facility	21.79	13.22	38.8	0.82	25.37	100
2.	Department Library Facility	0.76	28.14	39.42	31.11	0.57	100
3.	The level of Books in library according syllabus	62.13	16.09	11.68	8.04	2.06	100
4.	Behavior of library staff	2.52	45.72	40.28	9.12	2.36	100
5.	Sport facility	13.22	21.78	47.78	16.4	0.82	100
6.	Behavior & support of sport officer	2.36	48.69	35.77	11.44	1.74	100
7.	Drinking Water condition	0	0.35	0.46	33.32	65.87	100
8.	Canteen condition	0.46	7.13	37.05	30.44	24.92	100
9.	Toilet condition	0	0.16	11.68	48.59	39.57	100
10.	Official Facility	2.35	41.67	53.37	1.18	1.43	100
11.	Behavior of official staff	42.59	32.49	22.39	1.35	1.18	100
12.	Wi-Fi facility	6.71	19.85	25.01	22.6	25.83	100
Total		154.89	275.29	363.69	214.41	191.72	1200
Average (%)		12.9075	22.940	30.3075	17.8675	15.97	100

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON COLLEGE INFRASTRUCTURE (2018-19)

Total Response: 1951



**STUDENT FEEDBACK ON COLLEGE INFRASTRUCTURE
(2019-20)**

S. No.	Parameters	Percentage					Total
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	
1.	Library Facility	19.3	12.14	40.78	3.66	24.12	100
2.	Department Library Facility	1.92	25.27	38.62	33.14	1.05	100
3.	The level of Books in library according syllabus	55.41	16.27	16.63	10.49	1.2	100
4.	Behavior of library staff	4.28	38.64	44.86	10.06	2.16	100
5.	Sport facility	12.81	21.9	46.96	15.69	2.64	100
6.	Behavior & support of sport officer	5.55	40.29	39.81	13.3	1.05	100
7.	Drinking Water condition	0.96	1.34	1.1	33.76	62.84	100
8.	Canteen condition	0.43	6.3	37.45	30.38	25.44	100
9.	Toilet condition	1.25	1.44	13.54	45.69	38.08	100
10.	Official Facility	2.59	41.5	49.15	4.65	2.11	100
11.	Behavior of official staff	38.09	32.02	26.62	2.07	1.2	100
12.	Wi-Fi facility	5.87	18.68	33.33	20.27	21.85	100
Total		148.46	255.79	388.85	223.16	183.74	1200
Average (%)		12.37167	21.31583	32.40417	18.59667	15.31167	100

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON COLLEGE INFRASTRUCTURE (2019-20)

Total Response: 2077

